Doc Code: AP.PRE.REO PTO/SB/33 (07-09) Approved for use through 07/31/2012, OMB 0651-0031

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid CMB control number.			
PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		Docket Number (Optional)	
		F7764(V)	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the	Application Number		Filed
United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)]	10/590,645		August 25, 2006
on	First Named Inventor		
Signature	Veldhuizen		
	Art Unit Exa		Examiner
Typed or printed name	1789		Thuy Tran Lien
Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.			
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.			
The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s).  Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.			
l am the			
	/Gerard J. McGowan, Jr./		
applicant/inventor.	Signature		
assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed.	Gerard J. McGowan, Jr.		
(Form PTO/SB/96)	Typed or printed name		
attorney or agent of record. 29,412	(201)	894-2297	
	Telephone number		
attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.	12-20-2010		
Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34	Date		
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below."			
*Total of forms are submitted			

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.5. This will vary depend to take 12 minuse to complete, including gathering, prespring, and submitting the completed application from the USPTO. The will vary depending under upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Office, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

## Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S. C. (2b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

- The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
- A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement neoditations.
- A fecord in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the
- A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a/m).
- A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Burau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
- A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
- 7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
- 8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a noutine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
- A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.

Attorney Docket No.: F7764(V) Serial No.: 10/590,645

Filed: August 25, 2006

Confirmation No.: 1494

## REMARKS

Sir:

Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested in view of the following remarks

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees, which may be required to our deposit account No. 12-1155, including all required fees under: 37 C.F.R. §1.16; 37 C.F.R. §1.17; 37 C.F.R. §1.18; 37 C.F.R. §1.136.

The application is directed to a bakery product which includes flour and from 0.5 to 15 wt% on flour of sterol and/or stanol fatty acid ester and from 0.1 to 1 wt% of emulsifier on flour, wherein the emulsifier is selected from a recited group and a combination thereof. It is important to note that the amount of the sterol and the amount of the emulsifier are given on flour.

Although Yuan et al., US Patent No. 6, 190,720 mentions baked goods, the Office points to no mention by Yuan et al. of levels of flour in any of its baked goods. The Office appears to rely on the inherency of the level of flour with respect to sterol and emulsifier in the Yuan patent. However, even if it were reasonable to say that the recited levels of sterol and emulsifier on flour could be present in Yuan et al., the fact that a certain result or characteristic may be present in the prior art is not sufficient to establish the inherency of that result or characteristic. MPEP §2112 IV. To establish inherency, the intrinsic evidence must make clear that the missing descriptive matter is

necessarily present in the thing described in the reference. Inherency may not be

established by probabilities or possibilities.

The Office points to Yuan et al. as teaching that sterol esters comprise up to about 90%

of their ingredient and that their ingredient comprises up to 20% of a food. Taking as an

example the upper ends of these ranges, then, sterol would comprise about 18% of the food. Applicants' claim 1 recites 0.5 to 15 wt% on flour of sterol and/or sterol fatty acid

ester. If sterol is 18% of the product it hardly seems likely that it could be 15% of the

flour. It is apparent from this example that it is not inevitable that the parameters of the

present claims would be satisfied by Yuan et al.

The Office argues that since the claims do not specify any other ingredients of the food,

the amount based in the food composition is equivalent to the amount based in flour.

It is submitted that to find inherency the Office needs to find the recited invention in the one reference and that if the claim recites wt% in flour the Office cannot ignore other

ingredients which it is taught may be present in the food of the reference. Again,

inherency is not established by probabilities or possibilities.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that the application, as amended, be

allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

/Gerard J. McGowan, Jr./

Gerard J. McGowan, Jr. Registration No. 29 412

Attorney for Applicant(s)

GJM/mpk (201) 894-2297

2